

8/13/18 FILED 3:12pm  
CHRIS DE ROSE, Clerk  
By W. H. H. H. H.  
Deputy

WILLIAM G MONTGOMERY  
MARICOPA COUNTY ATTORNEY

Ariel Serafin  
Deputy County Attorney  
Bar ID #: 029014  
SVD-Family Violence East  
301 W. Jefferson, 5th Floor  
Phoenix, AZ 85003  
Telephone: (602) 506-5999  
mcaosvdfamviole@mcao.maricopa.gov  
MCAO Firm #: 00032000  
Attorney for Plaintiff

8/18

DR 201892906 - Chandler Police Department  
San Marcos Justice Court  
VACATE  
0131736121

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA  
COUNTY OF MARICOPA, RCC-DOWNTOWN

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ENRICKA RENA JOHNSON,  
aka ENRICKA R JOHNSON

Defendant.

CR2018-137745-001

DIRECT COMPLAINT

COUNT 1: CHILD ABUSE, A CLASS 4  
FELONY Domestic Violence (Enricka  
Rena Johnson)

IN CUSTODY

DCO

The complainant herein personally appears and, being duly sworn, complains on information and belief against ENRICKA RENA JOHNSON, charging that in Maricopa County, Arizona:

**COUNT 1:**

ENRICKA RENA JOHNSON, on or about July 29, 2018, under circumstances other than those likely to produce death or serious physical injury, intentionally or knowingly did cause Victim A, a child, to suffer physical injury or abuse, in violation of A.R.S. §§ 13-3623(B)(C)(F), 13-3601, 13-701, 13-702, and 13-801.

Ariel Serafin

/s/ Ariel Serafin  
Deputy County Attorney

**IN CUSTODY**



Complainant

Agency: Chandler Police Department

Subscribed and sworn upon information and belief this 3 day of August, 2018.

In

IN THE SAN MARCOS JP (CHANDLER) COURT  
STATE OF ARIZONA, COUNTY OF MARICOPA

PAGE 1 OF 4

\*\*\*\*DRAFT\*\*\*\*

RELEASE QUESTIONNAIRE

Notice: Unless a specific Form IV is sealed or ordered redacted by the Court, all Form IVs are public records of the Court or Clerk at the time they are provided to the Court and will be released in their entirety upon request.

DEFENDANT'S NAME ENRICKA RENA JOHNSON DOB [REDACTED] BOOKING NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
ALIAS(ES) \_\_\_\_\_ CASE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

**A. GENERAL INFORMATION**

Charges  
See Addendum (Page 4)

Pursuant to A.R.S. §41-1750 ten-print fingerprints were taken of the arrested person?  Yes  No  
If yes, PCN = \_\_\_\_\_

Pursuant to A.R.S. §13-610 one or more of the above charges requires the arresting agency to secure a DNA sample from the arrested person?  Yes  No

If yes, does the defendant have a valid DNA sample on file with AZDPS?  Yes  No

If no, Arresting Agency has taken required sample?  Yes  No

Offense Location: [REDACTED]  
Offense Date: 2018-07-31  
Arrest Location: [REDACTED]  
Date: 2018-07-31 Time: 12:50

**B. PROBABLE CAUSE STATEMENT**

1. Please summarize and include the facts which establish probable cause for the arrest:  
See Addendum (Page 4)

**C. OTHER INFORMATION (Check if applicable)**

1.  Defendant is presently on probation, parole or any other form of release involving other charges or convictions:  
Explain:

2. List any prior:  
Arrests? POSS. DRUG PARAPHERNALIA, CRIMD AND DISORDER DV, SHOPLIFTING

Convictions? POSS. DRUG PARAPHERNALIA, CRIMD AND DISORDER DV, SHOPLIFTING  
F.T.A.'s?

3. Is there any indication the defendant is:

An Alcoholic?  An Addict?

Mentally disturbed?  Physically ill?

4.  Defendant is currently employed

With whom

How long:

5. Where does the defendant currently reside? [REDACTED]

With whom

How long: \_\_\_\_\_ years \_\_\_\_\_ months \_\_\_\_\_ days

6. What facts indicate the defendant will flee if released?  
Explain:

7. What facts does the state have to oppose an unsecured release? Explain: SERIOUS INJURIES TO CHILD VICTIM, FAMILIAL RELATIONSHIP TO VICTIM, HIGH RISK OF CONTACT WITH VICTIM AND REPORTERS

**D. CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE OFFENSE (Check if applicable)**

1.  Firearm or other weapon was used  
Type: JUVENILE INJURED BY BELT

Someone was injured by the defendant

Medical attention was necessary

Nature of injuries: N/A

2.  Someone was threatened by the defendant  
Nature and extent of threats:

3. Did the offense involve a child victim?  Yes  No  
If yes, was DCS notified?  Yes  No

4. If property offense, value of property taken or damaged:

DEFENDANT'S NAME ENRICKA RENA JOHNSON

DOB [REDACTED] BOOKING NO.

\*\*\*\*DRAFT\*\*\*\*

CASE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

Page 2 of 4

Property was recovered

5. Name(s) of co-defendant(s):



\*\*\*\*DRAFT\*\*\*\*

CASE NO. [REDACTED]

Page 4 of 4

ADDENDUM**A. Charges**

- 1 Cts. 13-3623A1 CHILD/VUL ADULT ABUSE-INTENT F2
- 1 Cts. 13-3623B1 CHILD/VUL ADULT ABUSE-INTENT F4
- 1 Cts. 13-3623B1 CHILD/VUL ADULT ABUSE-INTENT F4
- 1 Cts. 13-3623B1 CHILD/VUL ADULT ABUSE-INTENT F4
- 1 Cts. 13-3623B1 CHILD/VUL ADULT ABUSE-INTENT F4
- 1 Cts. 13-3619 ENDANGER LIFE/HEALTH OF MINOR M1
- 1 Cts. 13-3415A DRUG PARAPHERNALIA-POSSESS/USE F6

**B1. Probable Cause Statement**

ON MONDAY, JULY 30, 2018 CHANDLER POLICE RESPONDED TO A REPORT OF POSSIBLE CHILD ABUSE. THE REPORT WAS BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF POLICE BY THE FAMILY OF THE DEFENDANT WHO NOTICED INJURIES ON SEVERAL PLANES OF THE FIVE-YEAR-OLD BIOLOGICAL DAUGHTER OF THE DEFENDANT. THE VICTIM DISCLOSED THE FAMILY THAT THE DEFENDANT CAUSED THESE INJURIES. DURING THE INVESTIGATION IT WAS LEARNED THAT THE DEFENDANT CAUSED THE INJURIES TO THE VICTIM ON JULY 29, 2018. THE JUVENILE VICTIM DISCLOSED THAT THE DEFENDANT WAS UPSET BECAUSE THE VICTIM WAS NOT BRUSHING HER HAIR. THE VICTIM DISCLOSED THAT THE DEFENDANT HIT HER WITH A CLOSED FIST IN THE EYES, PULLED ON HER EAR, AND BIT HER ON THE ARM. A FORENSIC MEDICAL EXAM WAS CONDUCTED AND INJURIES CONSISTENT WITH THE VICTIM'S DISCLOSURE WERE NOTED. FURTHER TESTING WAS REQUESTED AND PERFORMED WHICH REVEALED THE VICTIM HAD A BROKEN RIGHT TIBIA WHICH WAS IN THE STAGES OF HEALING. THIS BROKEN BONE WAS CONSISTENT WITH SOME SCARRING NOTED ON THE RIGHT LOWER LEG OF THE VICTIM WHICH APPEARED TO BE A LIGATURE MARK. AN ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY OF A BRAIN BLEED WAS DISCOVERED DURING THIS TESTING AS WELL. THE DEFENDANT WAS ARRESTED ON TUESDAY, JULY 31, 2018. DURING A CUSTODIAL INTERVIEW THE DEFENDANT ADMITTED TO STRIKING THE VICTIM WITH A GREEN OR BLUE COLORED BELT ON MULTIPLE OCCASIONS TO INCLUDE JULY 29, 2018. ADDITIONALLY, ON THAT SAME DATE, THE DEFENDANT ADMITTED SHE GRABBED VICTIM BY THE ARM AND SHOOK HER. THE DEFENDANT DENIED HITTING THE VICTIM WITH CLOSED FIST IN THE FACE. SHE ALSO DENIED PULLING THE VICTIM'S EAR BUT STATED THAT INJURY WAS CAUSED BY A LICE COMB WHICH HAS METAL TEETH. ONE OF THESE TEETH ON THE COMB WAS BENT. THE COMB WAS DESCRIBED AS HAVING A RED COLORED HANDLE. ALSO DURING THE INTERVIEW THE DEFENDANT ADMITTED TO BITING THE VICTIM ON HER HAND, ARM, AND SHOULDER. SHE MADE SUCH STATEMENTS AS I'M THE ONLY ONE WHO HITS HER, I HIT HER HARD, AND I HIT HER A LOT. SHE ADMITTED THAT HER FORM OF DISCIPLINE, WHICH IS WHAT SHE CALLED THESE INCIDENTS, WAS EXCESSIVE AND STATED SEVERAL TIMES, I HURT MY BABY. DURING THE INTERVIEW THE DEFENDANT WAS SHOWN PICTURES OF THE INJURIES TO THE VICTIM AND SHE DESCRIBED BELT ABOVE IS THE INSTRUMENT WHICH CAUSED A MAJORITY OF THEM. SHE ALSO USED A GENDER-NEUTRAL, WEIGHTED DOLL, WHICH WAS ORANGE IN COLOR TO DESCRIBE HOW SHE SHOOK THE VICTIM. WHEN ASKED ABOUT THE LIGATURE MARK ON THE RIGHT LEG OF THE VICTIM, THE DEFENDANT STATED THAT THE VICTIM WAS IN THE SHOWER WHEN SHE HEARD WHAT SHE DESCRIBED AS A CRACK. SHE BELIEVED THAT THE VICTIM HAD BROKEN HER LEG BUT DID NOT SEEK MEDICAL ATTENTION AS THE VICTIM WAS ABLE TO MOVE HER TOES IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING THE INCIDENT WHICH OCCURRED ABOUT 2 TO 4 MONTHS AGO. IN ORDER TO PROVIDE SUPPORT TO THE BROKEN LEG THE DEFENDANT PLACED MEDICAL GRADE TAPE AROUND THE LEG. THE LEG SWELLED UP AROUND THE TAPE WHICH CAUSED THE SCAR CURRENTLY NOTED. NO MEDICAL ATTENTION WAS PROVIDED NOR SOUGHT FOR THIS INJURY. IN FACT, THE VICTIM HAS NOT SEEN A DOCTOR FOR MORE THAN 2 YEARS. WHEN THE DEFENDANT WAS ARRESTED OFFICERS FOUND A PLASTIC BAG WHICH CONTAINED A WHITE SUBSTANCE CONSISTENT WITH METHAMPHETAMINE IN HER POSSESSION. THEY ALSO LOCATED A RED PILL BOTTLE WITH AN ODOR AND RESIDUE CONSISTENT WITH MARIJUANA IN HER POSSESSION.

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

### **RDOC**

PURSUANT TO RULE 2.3(b) OF THE ARIZONA RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, THE DEFENDANT IS CHARGED WITH ANY OFFENSE LISTED IN A.R.S TITLE 13, CHAPTERS 14, 32, 35 OR 35.1 OR IN WHICH THE VICTIM WAS A JUVENILE AT THE TIME OF THE OFFENSE. THIS CASE IS SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF SUPREME COURT RULE 123(G)(1)(C)(ii)(H)