STATE OF ARIZONA, COUNTY OF MARICOPA

PAGE 1 OF 4

****FINAL****

RELEASE QUESTIONNAIRE

Notice: Unless a specific Form IV is sealed or ordered redacted by the Court, all Form IVs are public records of the Court or Clerk at the time they are provided to the Court and will be released in their entirety upon request.

DEFENDANT'S NAME KARA LYNN LOOFBOROUGH	DOB BOOKING NO. T358714 CASE NO. PF2017118347001	
ALIAS(ES)		
A. GENERAL INFORMATION Charges 1 Cts. 13-1402A INDECENT EXPOSURE F6 1 Cts. 13-3506A OBSCENE MATL-FURNISH TO MINORS F4 1 Cts. 13-3554A LURE MINOR FOR SEX EXPLOIT F3	 C. OTHER INFORMATION (Check if applicable) 1. Defendant is presently on probation, parole or any other form of release involving other charges or convictions: Explain: 	
Pursuant to A.R.S. §41-1750 ten-print fingerprints were taken of the arrested person? ☑ Yes ☐ No If yes, PCN = Pursuant to A.R.S. §13-610 one or more of the above charges requires the arresting agency to secure a DNA	2. List any prior: Arrests?Convictions?F.T.A.'s?	
sample from the arrested person? ☑ Yes ☐ No If yes, does the defendant have a valid DNA sample on file with AZDPS? ☐ Yes ☐ No If no, Arresting Agency has taken required sample? ☐ Yes ☑ No	 3. Is there any indication the defendant is: An Alcoholic?	
Offense Location: Offense Date: 2017-03-15 Arrest Location Date: 2017-04-20 Time: 15:45 B. PROBABLE CAUSE STATEMENT 1. Please summarize and include the facts which establish probable cause for the arrest: See Addendum (Page 3)	How long: 0 years 0 months 0 days 5. Where does the defendant currently reside? With whom UNKNOWN How long: 0 years 0 months 0 days 6. What facts indicate the defendant will flee if released? Explain: 7. What facts does the state have to oppose an unsecured release? Explain:	
	 D. CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE OFFENSE(Check if applicable) 1.	
	 ☐ Medical attention was necessary Nature of injuries: N/A 2. ☐ Someone was threatened by the defendant Nature and extent of threats: 	
	 3. Did the offense involve a child victim? ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, was DCS notified? ☐ Yes ☒ No 4. If property offense, value of property taken or damaged: 	

☐ Property was recovered5. Name(s) of co-defendant(s):

		CASE NO. PF2017118347001 Page :	2 of 4
E. CRIMES OF VIOLENCE 1. Relationship of defendant to vice	iim:	 Evidence of the offense was found in th possession Explain: 	e defendant's
☐ Victim(s) and defendant reside	together		
2. How was the situation brought to the attention of the police? ☐ Victim ☐ Third Party ☐ Officer observed		4. Was the defendant under the influence of alcohol or drugs at the time of the offense?	
 There are previous incidents involving these same parties Explain: 	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unk		
		H. DRUG OFFENSES1. If the defendant is considered to be a drug state the supporting facts:	dealer, please
 Is defendant currently the subjection An order of protection Any 			
☐ Injunction against harassment			
Explain:		2. What quantities and types of illegal drugs a involved in the offense?	re directly
F. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ISSUES (Che Defendant's actions	ck if applicable)	☐ Drug field test completed	
☐ Threats of homicide/suicide/bodily har	m	☐ Defendant admission of drug type	
☐ Control/ownership/jealousy issues	☐ Crime occurs in public	Approximate monetary value: \$ 3. Was any money seized?	
☐ Prior history of DV	☐ Kidnapping	yes □ No	
☐ Frequency/intensity of DV increasing	☐ Depression	Amount: \$	
☐ Access to or use of weapons	☐ Stalking behavior	I. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
☐ Violence against children/animals		1. Military Service:	
☐ Multiple violations of court orders		Has the defendant served in the military s United States? ☐ Yes ☐ No 🛛 Unk	ervices of the nown
G. CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE ARREST	(Check if applicable)	If yes, currenlty on active duty? \square Yes	□ No
1. Did the defendant attempt to:	, ,	Branches Served In:	
☐ Avoid arrest ☐ Resist arrest	☐ Self Surrender	(AF - Air Force AR - Army CG - Coast Guard MC - Marine Corp MM - Merchant Marines NG - National Guard NV - Navy RS - Reserves)	arine Corp
Explain:		2. Is the defendant homeless?☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
2. Defendant was armed when arrested Type:		 Do you need the court to provide an interpre communicate and to understand what is bein Yes ☒ No 	ter to help ng said?
		If so, what language:	
	If a fugitive arrest, a Form I	/A must also be completed	
1 .,,		Amora to the classes of many long and all and	
	·	true to the best of my knowledge.	
RAMIREZ, PATRICIA/ ARRESTING OFFICER/SER	16721 IAL NUMBER ARRES	AGENCY/DUTY PHONE NUMBER	-
201745680/AZ0072900	/	/	
DEPARTMENTAL REPORT NO	D. DEPARTMENTÁL F	EPORT NO. DEPARTMENTAL REPORT NO.	_

DOB BOOKING NO. T358714

CASE NO. PF2017118347001

Page 3 of 4

ADDENDUM

B1. Probable Cause Statement

ON 04/19/2017, A DETECTIVE FROM THE TEMPE POLICE SPECIAL VICTIMS UNIT WAS CON-TACTED BY A FATHER REGARDING HIS 14-YEAR-OLD SON. THE FATHER DIRECTLY CALLED THE DETECTIVE DUE TO TWO PRIOR TEMPE POLICE INVESTIGATIONS IN WHICH THE DETECTIVE CONDUCTED A CHILD FORENSIC INTERVIEW WITH THE CHILD. THE FATHER EXPRESSED HIS CONCERN ABOUT HIS SON'S SCIENCE TEACHER WHOM HE THOUGHT WAS HAVING INAPPROPRIATE COMMUNICATION WITH HIS SON. HIS SON WAS ATTENDING A MIDDLE SCHOOL LOCATED IN THE CITY OF TEMPE AS WELL AS RESIDED IN THE CITY OF TEMPE. AT THE TIME, IT WAS UN-KNOWN IF THE INCIDENT INVOLVED A SEXUAL NATURE. THE FATHER STATED HIS SON'S CEL-LULAR PHONE LOG DISPLAYED NUMEROUS COMMUNICATION CONTACT WITH THE FEMALE TEACHER AT ALL HOURS OF THE EVENING. THE CONTACT APPEARED TO BE AFTER SCHOOL HOURS. THE FATHER ALSO STATED HIS SON WAS FOUND LOCKED IN THE BATHROOM ACTING AS IF HE WAS SHOWERING WHEN HE WAS IN FACT COMMUNICATING WITH THE TEACHER. ON 04/18/2017, THE CHILD'S SISTER OBSERVED VIDEO CHATTING BETWEEN THE TEACHER AND HER BROTHER (THE VICTIM). THE CHILD'S SISTER (AN ADULT) CONFRONTED THE TEACHER ABOUT THE CONTACT. THE FATHER STATED ON THE EVENING OF 04/18/2017, HE SPOKE TO THE TEACHER VIA TELEPHONE. HE CONFRONTED HER ABOUT HER INAPPROPRIATE CONTACT WITH HIS SON. AC-CORDING TO THE FATHER, THE TEACHER APOLOGIZED FOR THE CONTACT AS WELL AS ASKED FOR HIM NOT TO CONTACT LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT. SHE STATED SHE WANTED TO TALK FUR-THER WITH HIM ABOUT THE INCIDENT(S). THE FATHER USED A RECORDER TO DOCUMENT THE PHONE CONTACT HE HAD WITH THE TEACHER. LATER HE ARRIVED AT THE POLICE STATION WITH THE RECORDING. ON 04/19/2017, AFTER LISTENING TO THE FATHER'S CONCERNS, HE WAS ASKED TO ARRIVE AT THE TEMPE POLICE STATION LOCATED AT 120 E. 5TH STREET, TEMPE, ALONG WITH HIS 14-YEAR-OLD SON AND ANY ITEMS OF EVIDENTIARY VALUE TO WHICH HE AGREED. ON 04/19/2017, THE CHILD PARTICIPATED IN A CHILD FORENSIC IN-TERVIEW. DURING THE COURSE OF THE INTERVIEW, HE DISCLOSED THE FEMALE TEACHER COMMUNICATED WITH HIM VIA FACEBOOK MESSAGING AND VIDEO CHATTING. DURING THE MONTH OF MARCH OF 2017, SHE SHOWED HIM HER BREASTS AND HER VAGINA WHILE USING VIDEO CHAT TYPE COMMUNICATION. HE STATED HER VAGINA WAS SEEN WITHOUT CLOTHES COVERING THE BODY AREA. DURING ONE COMMUNICATION, SHE ASKED HIM TO SEND A PIC-TURE OF HIS PENIS. THE CHILD STATED HE WAS UNCOMFORTABLE WITH THE REQUEST. HE USED AN INTERNET WEBSITE. HE SEARCHED FOR AN IMAGE OF A PENIS. HE THEN SENT THE IMAGE OF A PENIS TO HER. HE STATED SHE RESPONDED BY COMMUNICATING THE PENIS WAS NOT HIS AS IT WAS TOO LARGE. HE STATED WHILE AT SCHOOL, THE TEACHER SHOWED HIM A VIDEO ON HER PHONE WHICH DISPLAYED HER PERFORMING ORAL SEX ON ANOTHER MALE. HE DISCLOSED ONE EVENING, THE TEACHER STATED SHE WAS NEAR HIS RESIDENCE. SHE ASKED IF HE WOULD MAKE CONTACT WITH HER AS SHE WAS IN HER CAR. HE DECLINED. HE WAS ASKED WHAT HE SAW IN THE BACKGROUND AREA AS THEY WERE VIDEO COMMUNICATING. HE PROVIDED A DESCRIPTION OF THE KITCHEN AREA AS WELL AS THE COLOR OF A COUCH. THE CHILD DISCLOSED SHE SENT HIM A LINK TO A PORNOGRAPHIC WEBSITE. HE LOST EYE CON-TACT AT DIFFERENT TIMES OF THE CHILD FORENSIC INTERVIEW WHEN HE WAS DISCLOSING ABOUT THE SEXUAL OBSERVATIONS WITH THE TEACHER. ON 04/19/2017, THE CHILD'S PAR-ENT PARTICIPATED IN A ONE-PARTY CONSENT CALL WITH THE TEACHER. DURING THE COURSE OF THE ONE-PARTY CONSENT CALL, THE TEACHER ACKNOWLEDGED SHE COMMUNICATED WITH THE CHILD AFTER SCHOOL HOURS, SHE ADMITTED HE OBSERVED PORTIONS OF HER GEN-ITALIA, SHE ADMITTED HE SENT HER AN IMAGE OF A PENIS, AND SHE STATED THE COMMU-NICATION SHOULD NOT HAVE HAPPENED. SHE STATED THE COMMUNICATION WAS THROUGH FACEBOOK MESSAGING. SHE CONFIRMED VIDEO CHATTING HAPPENED. IT WAS CONFIRMED HER CELLULAR PHONE WAS USED DURING THE COMMUNICATION. A FORENSIC EXAMINATION WAS CONDUCTED ON THE CHILD'S CELLULAR PHONE. THE CHILD'S FACEBOOK ACCOUNT SHOWED NU-MEROUS CONTACTS WITH THE TEACHER'S FACEBOOK ACCOUNT AT DIFFERENT TIMES OF THE DAY WHICH INCLUDED AFTER SCHOOL HOURS. ON 04/20/2017, A DETECTIVE FROM THE SPE-CIAL VICTIMS UNIT CONDUCTED A TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW WITH THE ADULT TEACHER (IDENTIFIED AS KARA LOOFBOROUGH 26-YEAR OLD). KARA CONFIRMED SHE SPOKE TO THE PARENT THE PREVIOUS EVENING ON THE TELEPHONE. SHE ADMITTED TO THE CHILD OB-SERVING HER GENITALIA WHILE VIDEO CHATTING. SHE WAS APOLOGETIC. SHE CONFIRMED HE WAS UNDER THE AGE OF 18. SHE WAS ADVISED TO TURN HERSELF INTO THE CUSTODY OF THE TEMPE POLICE. ON 04/20/2017, KARA LOOFBOROUGH ARRIVED AT THE TEMPE POLICE STA-TION LOCATED AT 120 E. 5TH STREET, TEMPE. SHE WAS ADVISED OF HER MIRANDA RIGHTS.

DOB BOOKING NO. T358714

CASE NO. PF2017118347001

ADDENDUM (cont'd)

SHE PARTICIPATED IN AN INTERVIEW WITH A DETECTIVE FROM THE SPECIAL VICTIMS UNIT OF THE T.P.D. DURING THE COURSE OF THE INTERVIEW, SHE ADMITTED TO COMMUNICATING WITH THE VICTIM WHILE VIDEO CHATTING. SHE ACKNOWLEDGED THE CHILD WAS 13 YEARS OF AGE. SHE WAS 26 YEARS OLD AT THE TIME OF THE INCIDENT(S). WHILE VIDEO CHATTING WITH THE CHILD, SHE ADMITTED TO MASTURBATING AS HE OBSERVED HER ACTIONS. SHE STATED HE ALSO MASTURBATED DURING THE SAME INCIDENTS. SHE STATED THERE WAS AN INCIDENT IN WHICH THEY WERE ALONE. HE KISSED HER BREAST AS WELL AS TOUCHED HER BREAST (SKIN ON SKIN). SHE ADMITTED TO DRIVING NEAR HIS RESIDENCE WHILE TALKING TO HIM ON THE PHONE. ON 04/20/2017, THE VICTIM PARTICIPATED IN A SECOND CHILD FORENSIC INTERVIEW. HE DISCLOSED HE OBSERVED LARA MASTURBATE. HE STATED SHE ASKED HIM TO "JERK OFF." WHEN ASKED WHAT SHE MEANT BY THE REOUEST, HE USED HIS HAND TO DISPLAY MASTURBATORY MOTION. HE STATED HE DID NOT CONDUCT THE SEXUAL ACT AS HE WOULD BE IN TROUBLE BY HIS PARENT. KARA LOOFBOROUGH WAS BOOKED AND HELD TO SEE A JUDGE FOR THE FOLLOWING CHARGES: 1) ONE COUNT OF FURNISHING HARMFUL ITEMS TO A MINOR, ARS 13-3506.A 2) ONE COUNT OF INDECENT EXPOSURE, ARS 13-1402.A 3) ONE COUNT OF LURING A MINOR FOR SEXUAL EXPLOITATION, ARS 13-3554.A