****FINAL****

AGUA FRIA JP (TOLLESON)

COURT

STATE OF ARIZONA, COUNTY OF MARICOPA

RELEASE QUESTIONNAIRE

Notice: Unless a specific Form IV is sealed or ordered redacted by the Court, all Form IVs are public records of the Court or Clerk at the time they are provided to the Court and will be released in their entirety upon request. DOB BOOKING NO. T368097 DEFENDANT'S NAME DOMICO BERNARD THOMAS ALIAS(ES) CASE NO. PF2017123979001 A. GENERAL INFORMATION C. OTHER INFORMATION (Check if applicable) 1. Defendant is presently on probation, parole or any Charges other form of release involving other charges or convictions: 1 Cts. 13-1704A ARSON OF OCCUPIED STRUCTURE F2 1 Cts. 13-1204A8A AGG ASLT-OFFICER F4 Explain: 1 Cts. 13-2508A1 RESIST ARREST-PHYSICAL FORCE F6 2. List any prior: Arrests? Pursuant to A.R.S. §41-1750 ten-print fingerprints were Convictions? taken of the arrested person? Yes X No If yes, PCN = F.T.A.'s? Pursuant to A.R.S. §13-610 one or more of the above charges requires the arresting agency to secure a DNA 3. Is there any indication the defendant is: sample from the arrested person? X Yes I No An Addict? □ An Alcoholic? If yes, does the defendant have a valid DNA sample on Mentally disturbed? □ Physically III? Defendant is currently employed 4. If no, Arresting Agency has taken required With whom sample?
Ves X No How long: Offense Location: See Addendum (Page 3) Where does the defendant currently reside? Offense Date: 2017-05-24 Arrest Location: YUMA RD AND LITCHFIELD RD, GOODYEAR, AZ With whom ALONE Date: 2017-05-24 Time: 19:49 How long: 1 _years _months _days **B. PROBABLE CAUSE STATEMENT** 6. What facts indicate the defendant will flee if released? 1. Please summarize and include the facts which establish Explain: FLED SCENE OF ARSON, PHYSICALLY RESISTED ARREST, MADE SUICIDAL STATEMENTS probable cause for the arrest: See Addendum (Page 3) 7. What facts does the state have to oppose an unsecured release? Explain: FLED SCENE OF ARSON, PHYSICALLY RESISTED ARREST, MADE SUICIDAL STATEMENTS D. CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE OFFENSE(Check if applicable) Firearm or other weapon was used Type: HANDS, FIRE Someone was injured by the defendant Medical attention was necessary Nature of injuries: N/A Someone was threatened by the defendant Nature and extent of threats:

3. Did the offense involve a child victim? ☐ Yes ⊠ No If yes, was DCS notified? ☐ Yes ⊠ No

4. If property offense, value of property taken or damaged: IN EXCESS OF \$100,000

Property was recovered

5. Name(s) of co-defendant(s):

E. CRIMES OF VIOLENCE

- 1. Relationship of defendant to victim:
- □ Victim(s) and defendant reside together
- There are previous incidents involving these same parties Explain:
- Is defendant currently the subject of:
 □ An order of protection □ Any other court order
- Injunction against harassment

Explain:

F. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ISSUES (Check if applicable)

Defendant's actions

- Threats of homicide/suicide/bodily harm
- Control/ownership/jealousy issues
- Prior history of DV
- □ Frequency/intensity of DV increasing □ Depression
- Access to or use of weapons
- □ Violence against children/animals
- ☐ Multiple violations of court orders

G. CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE ARREST (Check if applicable)

1. Did the defendant attempt to:

🛛 Avoid arrest 🖾 Resist arrest 🔲 Self Surrender

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Explain:}}$ physically resisted arrest and assaulted officer N/A

Kidnapping

Stalking behavior

2. Defendant was armed when arrested Type:

CASE NO. PF2017123979001

3. Evidence of the offense was found in the defendant's possession
 Explain: HAD BLACK SOOT ON PERSON AFTER FLEEING ARSON SCENE

BOOKING NO. T368097

Page 2 of 4

- 4. Was the defendant under the influence of alcohol or drugs at the time of the offense?
- 🛛 Yes 🗌 No 📋 Unk

H. DRUG OFFENSES

DOB

- 1. If the defendant is considered to be a drug dealer, please state the supporting facts:
- 2. What quantities and types of illegal drugs are directly involved in the offense?

Drug field test completed

□ Defendant admission of drug type
 Approximate monetary value: \$
 3. Was any money seized?

☐ Yes ☐ No Amount: \$

I. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

1. Military Service:

Has the defendant served in the military services of the United States? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ Unknown

If yes, currently on active duty? Yes No

- 2. Is the defendant homeless? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown

If so, what language:

If a fugitive arrest, a Form IVA must also be completed

I certify that the information presented is true to the best of my knowledge.		
ARRESTING OFFICER/SERIAL NU	MBER ARREST AGENCY/DUTY	PHONE NUMBER DATE
DEPARTMENTAL REPORT NO.	DEPARTMENTAL REPORT NO.	DEPARTMENTAL REPORT NO.

Page 3 of 4

CASE NO. PF2017123979001

<u>ADDENDUM</u>

A. Offense Location

B1. Probable Cause Statement

ON 5/24/17 AT ABOUT 1933 HOURS, AVONDALE POLICE OFFICERS AND FIRE PERSONNEL RE-SPONDED TO IN THE CITY OF AVONDALE, COUNTY OF MARICOPA, STATE OF ARIZONA, REFERENCE REPORTS OF A STRUCTURE FIRE. AS INITIAL RESPONDING OFFICERS ARRIVED ON SCENE, WITNESSES BEGAN PROVIDING A DESCRIPTION OF A BLACK MALE, WEARING A LIGHT BLUE SHIRT AND SHORTS RUNNING NORTHBOUND ON SOUTH 4 AVENUE FROM THE SCENE OF THE FIRE AND THEN WESTBOUND ON WEST WESTERN AVENUE. ONE OF THE WITNESSES IDENTIFIED BY POLICE ADVISED THAT SHE KNEW THE FLEEING SUBJECT TO HAVE FAMILY IN THE AREA A FEW MILES WEST OF THE SCENE OF THE FIRE. ADDITIONAL OF-FICERS ATTEMPTED TO LOCATE A SUBJECT MATCHING THE DESCRIPTION PROVIDED WESTBOUND FROM THE AREA ALONG WESTERN AVENUE, WHICH BECOMES YUMA ROAD WEST OF LITCHFIELD RD IN GOODYEAR, AZ. AN AVONDALE POLICE OFFICER DRIVING A FULLY MARKED POLICE VEHICLE WITH LIGHTS AND A SIREN LOCATED A SUBJECT OF MATCHING DESCRIPTION WALK-ING WESTBOUND ON YUMA ROAD WEST OF LITCHFIELD ROAD, LESS THAN A MILE FROM THE SCENE OF THE FIRE, A FEW MINUTES LATER AND ATTEMPTED CONTACT. THE SUBJECT, LATER IDENTIFIED AS DOMICO BERNARD THOMAS, IMMEDIATELY RAN AWAY FROM THE OFFICER, WHO WAS WEARING A FULLY MARKED POLICE UNIFORM, DESPITE THE OFFICER'S COMMANDS OF "PO-LICE" AND "STOP". THE OFFICER ATTEMPTED TO PHYSICALLY RESTRAIN DOMICO, BUT DOMICO SWUNG A CLOSED FIST AT THE OFFICER CAUSING THE OFFICER TO LOSE GRIP. THE OFFICER CONTINUED TO CHASE DOMICO ON FOOT AND ATTEMPTED TO PHYSICALLY RESTRAIN HIM AGAIN, AND AGAIN, DOMICO SWUNG A CLOSED FIST AT THE OFFICER. THE OFFICER THEN DEPLOYED HIS TASER TWICE AND BOTH DEPLOYMENTS WERE UNSUCCESSFUL AND HAD NO MEANINGFUL EFFECT. DOMICO FELL TO THE GROUND ON HIS BUTTOCKS AT WHICH POINT THE OFFICER ATTEMPTED TO PHYSICALLY RESTRAIN HIM INTO HANDCUFFS AT WHICH POINT DOMICO FELL FORWARD ONTO HIS STOMACH AND PULLED BOTH OF HIS HANDS UNDERNEATH HIS BODY TO KEEP THEM AWAY FROM THE OFFICER. ADDITIONAL RESPONDING OFFICERS ARRIVED TO ASSIST THE OFFICER AND EVENTUALLY PLACED DOMICO INTO HANDCUFFS BEHIND HIS BACK AT WHICH POINT HE BEGAN MAKING EXCITED UTTERANCES THAT HE JUST WANTED TO DIE. THE ORIGINAL OFFICER THAT ATTEMPTED TO CONTACT DOMICO AND DETAIN HIM SUFFERED MINOR INJURIES TO HIS KNEE DURING THE INCIDENT. FIRE PERSONNEL RESPON-DED TO EVALUATE DOMICO AND HE WAS ULTIMATELY TRANSPORTED TO THE HOSPITAL TO BE TREATED FOR SMOKE INHALATION AS FIRE PERSONNEL NOTED VISIBLE BLACK SOOT IN DOMICO'S AIRWAYS. ON SCENE INVESTIGATION DETERMINED WITNESSES OBSERVED THE SUB-JECT RUNNING FROM THE SCENE OF THE FIRE. A ONE-ON-ONE IDENTIFICATION WAS COM-PLETED AND THE WITNESS IDENTIFIED DOMICO AS THE SUBJECT THEY HAD OBSERVED RUN-NING FROM THE APARTMENT AS THE FIRE STARTED. PROPERTY MANAGEMENT WAS LATER CON-TACTED AND CONFIRMED THAT DOMICO WAS THE SOLE TENANT OF . MEAN-WHILE, BACK AT , OFFICERS HAD BEGUN EVACUATING THE APARTMENT BUILDING WHICH WAS ACTIVELY ENGULFED IN FLAMES. ATTACHED TO HAS 15 OTHER ADJOINING APARTMENTS, SEVERAL OF WHICH HAD OCCUPANTS WITHIN THEM. AT THE THE TOTAL NUMBER OF OCCUPANTS WITHIN THE BUILDING ADJOINING TIME OF THE FIRE HAS YET TO BE DETERMINED. FIRE PERSONNEL ATTEMPTED TO ENTER TO EXTINGUISH THE FIRE AT WHICH POINT THEY DETERMINED THAT THE FRONT DOOR HAD BEEN BARRICADED WITH A LARGE RECLINING CHAIR. FIRE PERSONNEL WAS ABLE TO FORCE ENTRY INTO ADDITIONAL AND EVENTUALLY EXTINGUISHED THE FIRE. WHILE INSIDE OF THE APARTMENT CONTAINING THE FIRE, FIRE PERSONNEL NOTED THAT THERE WERE LIGHTER FLUID BOTTLES ON THE GROUND INSIDE OF THE APARTMENT. AVONDALE POLICE DETECTIVES AND THE AVONDALE FIRE INSPECTOR RESPONDED TO THE SCENE TO AS-SIST WITH THE INVESTIGATION. THE AVONDALE FIRE INSPECTOR ADVISED THAT HE COULD SMELL AN ODOR CONSISTENT WITH LIGHTER FLUID COMING FROM THE APARTMENT. A SEARCH WARRANT WAS GRANTED TO SEARCH APARTMENT 106 AND EVIDENCE WAS OBSERVED AND GATHERED WHICH WAS CONSISTENT WITH AN INTENTIONAL FIRE SET WITHIN THE APARTMENT. THE FIRE INSPECTOR ADVISED THAT THE FIRE APPEARED TO HAVE STARTED JUST INSIDE OF THE FRONT DOOR OF APARTMENT 106 WHERE THE LARGE RECLINING CHAIR HAD BEEN PLACED IN FRONT OF THE DOOR TO BARRICADE THE DOOR. THERE WERE BURN PATTERNS ON THE

BOOKING NO. T368097

CASE NO. PF2017123979001

Page 4 of 4

ADDENDUM (cont'd)

WALLS NEAR THE FRONT DOOR CONSISTENT WITH THAT LOCATION BEING THE LOCATION THAT THE FIRE HAD IGNITED. A SOURCE OF IGNITION COULD NOT BE LOCATED INSIDE OF THE FRONT DOOR INDICATING THAT THE FIRE HAD BEEN MANUALLY IGNITED. DURING A POST MIRANDA INTERVIEW, DOMICO ADVISED THAT HE WAS THE SOLE OCCUPANT OF THE APARTMENT WHEN THE FIRE BEGAN, BUT DECLINED TO HAVE ANY KNOWLEDGE OF HOW THE FIRE IGNITED. DOMICO ADVISED THAT HE FLED THE APARTMENT BECAUSE HE COULD NOT BREATHE AND FLED THE SCENE TO GET MEDICAL CARE. THERE IS NO EVIDENCE TO SUGGEST THAT DOMICO NOTI-FIED ANYONE REGARDING THE FIRE TO INCLUDE POLICE OR FIRE PERSONNEL OR ANYONE ELSE IN THE APARTMENT COMPLEX PRIOR TO FLEEING THE AREA ON FOOT. DOMICO ADVISED DURING THE INTERVIEW THAT HE LIKELY CAUSED THE FIRE AS NO ONE ELSE WAS INSIDE OF THE APARTMENT AT THE TIME. DOMICO ADVISED HE DID NOT REMEMBER FIGHTING WITH OF-FICERS DURING HIS ARREST. UPON BEING RELEASED FROM THE HOSPITAL, DOMICO WILL BE BOOKED FOR ARSON OF AN OCCUPIED STRUCTURE, AGGRAVATED ASSAULT ON A PEACE OF-FICER, AND RESISTING ARREST BY PHYSICAL FORCE.