

IN THE ARCADIA BILTMORE JP (PHXEAST2) COURT
STATE OF ARIZONA, COUNTY OF MARICOPA

PAGE 1 OF 4

****FINAL****

RELEASE QUESTIONNAIRE

Notice: Unless a specific Form IV is sealed or ordered redacted by the Court, all Form IVs are public records of the Court or Clerk at the time they are provided to the Court and will be released in their entirety upon request.

DEFENDANT'S NAME IVAN ERICK LAWRENCE DOB [REDACTED] BOOKING NO. T358388

ALIAS(ES) _____ CASE NO. PF2017118121001

A. GENERAL INFORMATION

Charges

1 Cts. 13-2910A9 ANIMAL-INTENTL CRUEL MISTREAT F6

Pursuant to A.R.S. §41-1750 ten-print fingerprints were taken of the arrested person? Yes No
If yes, PCN = _____

Pursuant to A.R.S. §13-610 one or more of the above charges requires the arresting agency to secure a DNA sample from the arrested person? Yes No

If yes, does the defendant have a valid DNA sample on file with AZDPS? Yes No

If no, Arresting Agency has taken required sample? Yes No

Offense Location:

Offense Date: 2017-04-16

Arrest Location: 2241 N 37TH PL PHOENIX AZ 85008

Date: 2017-04-19 Time: 14:15

B. PROBABLE CAUSE STATEMENT

1. Please summarize and include the facts which establish probable cause for the arrest:
See Addendum (Page 3)

C. OTHER INFORMATION (Check if applicable)

1. Defendant is presently on probation, parole or any other form of release involving other charges or convictions:
Explain:

2. List any prior:
Arrests? Convictions?

F.T.A.'s?

3. Is there any indication the defendant is:

An Alcoholic? An Addict?

Mentally disturbed? Physically Ill?

4. Defendant is currently employed

With whom

How long:

5. Where does the defendant currently reside? [REDACTED]

With whom

How long: _____ years _____ months _____ days

6. What facts indicate the defendant will flee if released?

Explain:

7. What facts does the state have to oppose an unsecured release? Explain: DEFENDANT ADMITTED KILLING DOGS THAT WERE "INJURED" PRIOR TO T

D. CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE OFFENSE(Check if applicable)

1. Firearm or other weapon was used
Type: SLEDGE HAMMER

Someone was injured by the defendant

Medical attention was necessary

Nature of injuries:

2. Someone was threatened by the defendant

Nature and extent of threats:

3. Did the offense involve a child victim? Yes No

If yes, was DCS notified? Yes No

4. If property offense, value of property taken or damaged:

Property was recovered

5. Name(s) of co-defendant(s):

E. CRIMES OF VIOLENCE

1. Relationship of defendant to victim:

Victim(s) and defendant reside together

2. How was the situation brought to the attention of the police?

Victim Third Party Officer observed

3. There are previous incidents involving these same parties
Explain:

4. Is defendant currently the subject of:

An order of protection Any other court order

Injunction against harassment

Explain:

F. DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ISSUES (Check if applicable)

Defendant's actions

Threats of homicide/suicide/bodily harm

Control/ownership/jealousy issues Crime occurs in public

Prior history of DV Kidnapping

Frequency/intensity of DV increasing Depression

Access to or use of weapons Stalking behavior

Violence against children/animals

Multiple violations of court orders

G. CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE ARREST (Check if applicable)

1. Did the defendant attempt to:

Avoid arrest Resist arrest Self Surrender

Explain:

2. Defendant was armed when arrested

Type:

3. Evidence of the offense was found in the defendant's possession

Explain: SLEDGE HAMMER USED TO KILL THE DOG WAS RECOVERED

4. Was the defendant under the influence of alcohol or drugs at the time of the offense?

Yes No Unk

H. DRUG OFFENSES

1. If the defendant is considered to be a drug dealer, please state the supporting facts:

2. What quantities and types of illegal drugs are directly involved in the offense?

Drug field test completed

Defendant admission of drug type

Approximate monetary value: \$

3. Was any money seized?

Yes No

Amount: \$

I. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

1. Military Service:

Has the defendant served in the military services of the United States? Yes No Unknown

If yes, currently on active duty? Yes No

Branches Served In: NG

(AF - Air Force AR - Army CG - Coast Guard MC - Marine Corp MM - Merchant Marines NG - National Guard NV - Navy RS - Reserves)

2. Is the defendant homeless?

Yes No Unknown

3. Do you need the court to provide an interpreter to help communicate and to understand what is being said?

Yes No

If so, what language:

If a fugitive arrest, a Form IVA must also be completed

I certify that the information presented is true to the best of my knowledge.

JACOBS, BARRY R/05604
ARRESTING OFFICER/SERIAL NUMBER

AZ0072300/602-262-6657
ARREST AGENCY/DUTY PHONE NUMBER

2017-04-19
DATE

201700000658624/AZ0072300
DEPARTMENTAL REPORT NO.

/
DEPARTMENTAL REPORT NO.

/
DEPARTMENTAL REPORT NO.

ADDENDUM**B1. Probable Cause Statement**

ON 04/16/17, AT APPROXIMATELY 2045 HOURS WITNESSES OBSERVED A DOG GET HIT BY A CAR IN THE AREA OF 3720 E OAK ST. THE CONCERNED CITIZENS PULLED OVER TO RENDER AID TO THE BLUE PIT BULL DOG NAMED BEAU. A SECOND PASSING VEHICLE APPEARED TO POSSIBLY RUN OVER THE DOG. THE DOG APPEARED TO HAVE AN INJURED FRONT LEG AND WAS WEIGHT BEARING ON 3 LEGS AND WAS ABLE TO MOVE WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF THE OTHER DOG THAT WAS STANDING NEARBY IN A PROTECTIVE CAPACITY. THE DOG MOVED ON HIS OWN VOLITION TO THE FRONT ENTRYWAY OF A RESIDENCE LOCATED AT 3720 E OAK ST ACCOMPANIED BY ANOTHER DOG. THE INJURED DOG WAS PANTING AND APPEARED TO NEED MEDICAL TREATMENT. THE WITNESSES PLANNED TO TAKE THE DOG TO A 24 HOUR MEDICAL CLINIC AFTER THEY ESTABLISHED THAT THE OWNER WAS NOT HOME AT THE TIME OF THE INCIDENT. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF 24 HOUR ANIMAL HOSPITALS IN THE AREA. A WOMAN APPEARED FROM DOWN THE STREET AND SAID SHE WOULD GET HER HUSBAND BECAUSE HE WOULD KNOW WHAT TO DO. A SHORT TIME LATER IVAN ERICK LAWRENCE RAN OVER WITH AN APPROXIMATELY 3 FOOT LONG SLEDGE HAMMER IN HAND. WITNESSES REPORTED THAT IVAN PROCEEDED TO SAY HE WAS GOING TO KILL THE DOG WITH THE SLEDGEHAMMER. ONE WITNESS ATTEMPTED TO VERBALLY DISSUADE IVAN FROM KILLING THE DOG FOR APPROXIMATELY 10 MINUTES TO NO AVAIL. THE WITNESS REPORTED THAT IVAN WAS SWINGING THE HAMMER IN AN AGGRESSIVE MANNER AND SAID HE HAD A GUN. THE WITNESS REPORTED THAT SHE TOLD IVAN THAT SHE AND HER MOTHER WERE GOING TO TAKE THE DOG TO THE VETERINARIAN NEARBY BUT IVAN SAID HE WAS GOING TO KILL THE DOG AND PUT IT OUT OF ITS MISERY. BY THIS TIME TWO CHILDREN WERE STANDING NEARBY FROM THE NEIGHBORHOOD PLEADING WITH IVAN TO REFRAIN FROM HITTING THE DOG WITH A SLEDGEHAMMER. ACCORDING TO THE WITNESS THE CHILDREN WERE SCREAMING AND YELLING AT THE SUSPECT TO STOP. THE WITNESSES REPORTED THAT ATTEMPTS WERE MADE TO DISSUADE THE SUSPECT FROM HITTING THE DOG IN THE HEAD FOR A PERIOD OF TIME THAT LASTED BETWEEN 812 MINUTES BUT THE SUSPECTS WIFE/GIRLFRIEND REPEATEDLY EGGED AND ENCOURAGED THE SUSPECT DO IT. IT WAS TOWARD THE END OF THE DISPUTE THAT THE SUSPECT MENTIONED HE HAD A GUN AND SWUNG THE SLEDGEHAMMER IN A WAY THAT CAUSED THE WITNESS TO STEP OUT OF THE WAY DUE TO HER FELLING INTIMIDATED AND THE SUSPECT PROCEEDED TO APPROACH THE DOG WHICH WAS LYING BY HIS OWNERS FRONT DOOR AND SWUNG AND HIT THE DOG IN THE HEAD. ACCORDING TO THE WITNESS THE DOG WAS NOT WHINING OR YELPING PRIOR TO BEING STRUCK IN THE HEAD BUT THE DOG YELPED LOUDLY WHEN THE SUSPECT SWUNG AND HIT THE DOG IN THE HEAD THE FIRST TIME. THE WITNESS REPORTED THAT THE SUSPECT THEN SWUNG THE HAMMER A SECOND TIME AND HIT THE DOG IN THE HEAD. AFTER THE DOG WAS HIT THE SECOND TIME THE WITNESS REPORTED THAT THE DOGS BODY WAS MOVED FROM THE STRIKE AND THE HEAD WAS CANTED IN AN ABNORMAL POSITION. THE WITNESS REPORTED THAT THE DOG WAS NOT BLEEDING IN A NOTICEABLE MANNER PRIOR TO BEING HIT BY THE SUSPECT BUT A LARGE QUANTITY OF BLOOD CAME OUT OF THE DOGS HEAD AFTER HE WAS HIT BY THE SUSPECT. ANOTHER WITNESS HAD CALLED THE POLICE DURING THE INTERIM AND REPORTED THAT NUMEROUS EFFORTS WERE MADE TO STOP THE SUSPECT FROM KILLING THE INJURED DOG WITH A SLEDGEHAMMER. THE DOG OWNERS ARRIVED HOME A SHORT TIME LATER TO DISCOVER THEIR FAMILY PET WAS LYING IN THE ENTRYWAY IN A POOL OF BLOOD DECEASED. THE DOG OWNERS LEARNED THEIR DOGS HAD GOT OUT WHEN THEY LEFT EARLIER IN THE DAY AND THEY WERE DEVASTATED TO LEARN THAT THEIR PET BEAU HAD BEEN KILLED IN THIS MANNER AS THEY WOULD HAVE PREFERRED THEIR PET HAD BEEN TREATED AT AN ANIMAL HOSPITAL AS THE WITNESSES WERE TRYING TO DO. THE DOG OWNERS DESIRE PROSECUTION IN THIS MATTER A THEIR DOG WAS KILLED WITHOUT THEIR CONSENT AND WAS SUBJECTED TO CRUEL MISTREATMENT IN THE PROCESS. FURTHER INVESTIGATION INCLUDED THE SUSPECT BEING POSITIVELY IDENTIFIED IN PHOTOGRAPHIC LINEUPS. THE SUSPECT WAS IDENTIFIED BY A 10 YEAR OLD CHILD WHO WITNESSED THE VIOLENT INCIDENT. THE CHILD REPORTEDLY HAS HAD CRYING EPISODES AND NIGHTMARES AS A RESULT OF THE INCIDENT. THE SUSPECT WAS CONTACTED AND HE CONFIRMED THAT HE EUTHANIZED THE DOG AS A MERCY KILLING. THE SUSPECT ADMITTED HE WAS RECKLESS IN KILLING THE DOG IN THIS MANNER AND WITH CHILDREN PRESENT TO WITNESS IT. THE SUSPECT SAID HE KILLED THE DOG BECAUSE HE WAS FLOPPING AROUND AND THEN HE CHANGED HIS STORY AND SAID THE DOG WAS INITIALLY FLOPPING AROUND HEAD ONLY AND WHIMPERING SO HE PROCEEDED TO HIT THE DOG USING A GOLF SWING TYPE HIT WITH THE SLEDGEHAMMER. THE SUSPECT DOES NOT HAVE ANY MEDICAL TRAINING PERTAINING TO ANIMALS AND ADMITTED THAT IT WAS POSSIBLE HE COULD HIT THE DOG AND IT NOT DIE

ADDENDUM (cont'd)

AFTER THE FIRST HIT, HOWEVER THE SUSPECT CLAIMED THAT HE WAS IN THE NATIONAL GUARD AND AN EX ATHLETE WHICH WOULD ALLOW HIM TO KNOW THAT THE DOG NEEDED TO BE KILLED . THE SUSPECT AGREED THAT ANY REASONABLE PERSON WOULD HAVE AN ISSUE IF THEY SAW WHAT HE DID. THE SUSPECT ADMITTED THAT IF HIS DOG WERE HIT BY A CAR HE WOULD OBJECT TO SOMEONE DOING WHAT HE DID TO HIS OWN PET. THE SUSPECT SAID IF YOU HIT A DOG IN THE BACK OF THE NECK THEN YOU TAKE OUT THEIR NERVES AND THE DOG WOULD FEEL NO PAIN. THE SUSPECT SAID COMPARED HIS ACTIONS WITH THE DOG TO THE MANNER IN WHICH CATTLE ARE KILLED WHEN THEY ARE BUTCHERED. THE SUSPECT SAID HE KNEW THE DOG WAS IN PAIN BECAUSE THE DOG WA SQUEALING WHEN HE WAS 30 FEET AWAY BUT LATER SAID HE COULD ONLY HEAR THE DOG SQUEALING WHEN HE WAS STANDING OVER THE DOG WITH THE SLEDGEHAMMER. THE SUSPECT SAID HIS WIFE TOLD HIM TO GO GET THE HAMMER AND SO HE BROUGHT THE SLEDGEHAMMER TO PUT THE DOG OUT OF HIS MISERY. THE SUSPECT SAID AFTER HE KILLED THE DOG HE WENT HOME AND DID NOT CALL THE POLICE OR WAIT TO SPEAK WITH THE FAMILY WHO OWNED THE DOG. THE DOG OWNERS ARRIVED HOME WITH THEIR SIX CHILDREN TO DISCOVER THEIR PET WAS DECEASED. THE LOCATION WHERE THE VICTIMIZED DOG WAS KILLED HAD A LARGER POOL OF BLOOD SUGGESTING THE DOG WAS KILLED ON THE FRONT PORCH AREA. NO BLOOD WAS FOUND OR REPORTED PRIOR TO THE SUSPECT STRIKING THE DOG WITH THE SLEDGEHAMMER. THE DOG WAS A LOVED FAMILY PET AND FOR CULTURAL REASONS WAS BURIED IN A SACRED LOCATION AND AS NOT EXHUMED. A FORENSICALLY INFORMED VETERINARIAN WAS CONSULTED REFERENCE THIS CASE. THE SUSPECT WAS INTERVIEWED AND ARRESTED ON 04/19/17 AND THE SLEDGEHAMMER HE USED TO KILL THE DOG WAS RECOVERED FROM HIS RESIDENCE.